

# SAF, LOTC Ethernet Bonding Failed

Ericsson Centralized User Database

Operating Instructions

## **Copyright**

© Ericsson AB 2018. All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced in any form without the written permission of the copyright owner.

## **Disclaimer**

The contents of this document are subject to revision without notice due to continued progress in methodology, design and manufacturing. Ericsson shall have no liability for any error or damage of any kind resulting from the use of this document.

## **Trademark List**

All trademarks mentioned herein are the property of their respective owners. These are shown in the document *Trademark Information*.

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Alarm Description	1
1.2	Prerequisites	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Procedure</b>	<b>3</b>
	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>4</b>



SAF, LOTC Ethernet Bonding Failed



# 1 Introduction

This instruction concerns alarm handling for the SAF, LOTC Ethernet Bonding Failed alarm.

## 1.1 Alarm Description

This alarm is related to Service Availability Forum (SAF).

The alarm is issued when one or more Ethernet interfaces belonging to a bonded interface fails.

The possible alarm causes and the corresponding fault reasons, fault locations, and impacts are described in [Table 1](#).

Table 1 Alarm Causes

Alarm Cause	Description	Fault Reason	Fault Location	Impact
One of the Ethernet interfaces of the GEP blade (eht5 or eth6) has no L2 connectivity.	The GEP blade has a bonding interface (bond0) defined on the physical interfaces eth5 and eth6. The alarm is raised, if one of the physical interfaces cannot contact the CMX blade it is connected to.	Blade not correctly plugged in	CMX, GEP blade, EGEM	Loss of redundancy in the GEP blade network connectivity
		CMX replacement	CMX	
		CMX restart	CMX	
		Faulty hardware	CMX, GEP blade, EGEM	
		Wrong DMX configuration	DMX	

The alarm attributes are listed and explained in [Table 2](#).

Table 2 Alarm Attributes

Attribute Name	Attribute Value
Auto Cease	Yes
Module	SAF
Error Code	6
Timestamp First	Date and time when the alarm was raised for the first time.
Repeated Counter	Number which indicates how many times the alarm was raised.
Timestamp Last	Date and time of the most recent alarm raise.
Resource ID	.1.3.6.1.4.1.193.169.9.6. <length> . <NOI>
Alarm Model Description	LOTIC Ethernet Bonding, SAF
Alarm Active Description	SAF platform: LOTIC Ethernet Bonding @ <NON>
ITU Alarm Event Type	communicationsAlarm (2)
ITU Alarm Probable Cause	communicationsSubsystemFailure (505)
ITU Alarm Perceived Severity	(3) - Critical
Originating source IP	Node IP where the alarm was raised.



Attribute Name	Attribute Value
Sequence Number	Number which indicates the order in which the alarms are raised.

In [Table 2](#), the indicated variables are as follows:

- `<NON>` is the notifying object name that indicates where is the component that generates the alarm. For example:

PL\_2\_4

- `<NOI>` is the notifying object identifier. It corresponds to `<NON>` in a dot-separated, ASCII-decimal-encoded, character-per-character format. For example:

In Resource ID 1.3.6.1.4.1.193.169.9.6.6.80.76.95.50.95.52, substring `<NOI>` 80.76.95.50.95.52 refers for PL\_2\_4.

- `<length>` is the number of characters in `<NON>`, which is equivalent to the number of octets in `<NOI>`. In the previous example, `<length>` is 6.

For further information about attribute descriptions, refer to [CUDB Node Fault Management Configuration Guide](#).

## 1.2 Prerequisites

This section provides information on the documents, tools, and conditions that apply to the procedure.

### 1.2.1 Documents

This instruction references the following document:

- [CUDB Node Fault Management Configuration Guide](#)

### 1.2.2 Tools

Not applicable.

### 1.2.3 Conditions

Not applicable.



## 2 Procedure

If the alarm is raised, do the following:

### Steps

1. Check if the alarm has been raised on other blades also. If all the blades of a single shelf have the same alarm, this can mean one of the following:
  - One of the CMX devices of the shelf has either failed or is configured incorrectly. Check that both CMX devices of the shelf are running, are well configured, and there is no malfunction in them.
  - There is improper inter-shelf CMX connectivity. If subrack 1 or 2 suffers the alarm, one of the CMX devices on that subrack could be lacking of proper connectivity with the CMX on subrack 0. Check cabling and DMX configuration for the connectivity between the CMX in shelf 0 and the CMX in the affected shelf.
2. If the alarm is only raised for a single blade, check the DMX to make sure that the configuration is correct and none of the CMX interfaces connecting the blade are disabled on the DMX.
3. If [Step 2](#) does not solve the issue, there could be a connectivity issue. Check that the blade is healthy and correctly plugged into the magazine.
4. If the alarm does not cease, contact the next level of maintenance support. Further actions are outside the scope of this Operating Instruction.
  - There may be a hardware failure in the network interfaces.



## Glossary

For the terms, definitions, acronyms and abbreviations used in this document, refer to CUDB Glossary of Terms and Acronyms