



ATIS-1000676.2001(R2011)

BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol (IPBCP)

AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS



ATIS is the leading technical planning and standards development organization committed to the rapid development of global, market-driven standards for the information, entertainment and communications industry. More than 250 companies actively formulate standards in ATIS' 18 Committees, covering issues including: IPTV, Service Oriented Networks, Energy Efficiency, IP-Based and Wireless Technologies, Quality of Service, and Billing and Operational Support. In addition, numerous Incubators, Focus and Exploratory Groups address emerging industry priorities including "Green", IP Downloadable Security, Next Generation Carrier Interconnect, IPv6 and Convergence.

ATIS is the North American Organizational Partner for the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP), a member and major U.S. contributor to the International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Radio and Telecommunications' Sectors, and a member of the Inter-American Telecommunication Commission (CITEL). For more information, please visit < <http://www.atis.org> >.

AMERICAN NATIONAL STANDARD

Approval of an American National Standard requires review by ANSI that the requirements for due process, consensus, and other criteria for approval have been met by the standards developer.

Consensus is established when, in the judgment of the ANSI Board of Standards Review, substantial agreement has been reached by directly and materially affected interests. Substantial agreement means much more than a simple majority, but not necessarily unanimity. Consensus requires that all views and objections be considered, and that a concerted effort be made towards their resolution.

The use of American National Standards is completely voluntary; their existence does not in any respect preclude anyone, whether he has approved the standards or not, from manufacturing, marketing, purchasing, or using products, processes, or procedures not conforming to the standards.

The American National Standards Institute does not develop standards and will in no circumstances give an interpretation of any American National Standard. Moreover, no person shall have the right or authority to issue an interpretation of an American National Standard in the name of the American National Standards Institute. Requests for interpretations should be addressed to the secretariat or sponsor whose name appears on the title page of this standard.

CAUTION NOTICE: This American National Standard may be revised or withdrawn at any time. The procedures of the American National Standards Institute require that action be taken periodically to reaffirm, revise, or withdraw this standard. Purchasers of American National Standards may receive current information on all standards by calling or writing the American National Standards Institute.

Notice of Disclaimer & Limitation of Liability

The information provided in this document is directed solely to professionals who have the appropriate degree of experience to understand and interpret its contents in accordance with generally accepted engineering or other professional standards and applicable regulations. No recommendation as to products or vendors is made or should be implied.

NO REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY IS MADE THAT THE INFORMATION IS TECHNICALLY ACCURATE OR SUFFICIENT OR CONFORMS TO ANY STATUTE, GOVERNMENTAL RULE OR REGULATION, AND FURTHER, NO REPRESENTATION OR WARRANTY IS MADE OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR AGAINST INFRINGEMENT OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS. ATIS SHALL NOT BE LIABLE, BEYOND THE AMOUNT OF ANY SUM RECEIVED IN PAYMENT BY ATIS FOR THIS DOCUMENT, WITH RESPECT TO ANY CLAIM, AND IN NO EVENT SHALL ATIS BE LIABLE FOR LOST PROFITS OR OTHER INCIDENTAL OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES. ATIS EXPRESSLY ADVISES ANY AND ALL USE OF OR RELIANCE UPON THIS INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THIS DOCUMENT IS AT THE RISK OF THE USER.

NOTE - The user's attention is called to the possibility that compliance with this standard may require use of an invention covered by patent rights. By publication of this standard, no position is taken with respect to whether use of an invention covered by patent rights will be required, and if any such use is required no position is taken regarding the validity of this claim or any patent rights in connection therewith.
--

ATIS-1000676.2001(R2011), *BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol (IPBCP)*

Is an American National Standard developed by the **ATIS Packet Technologies and Systems Committee (PTSC)**.

Published by

Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions
1200 G Street, NW, Suite 500
Washington, DC 20005

Copyright © 2011 by Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions
All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced in any form, in an electronic retrieval system or otherwise, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For information contact ATIS at 202.628.6380. ATIS is online at < <http://www.atis.org> >.

Printed in the United States of America.

ATIS-1000676.2001 (R2011)

(formerly T1.676.2001)

American National Standard for Telecommunications

BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol (IPBCP)

Secretariat

Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions

Approved August 21, 2001

American National Standards Institute, Inc.

Abstract

This standard defines BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol. The BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol (IPBCP) is used for the exchange of media stream characteristics, port numbers, and IP addresses of the source and sink of a media stream to establish and allow the modification of IP bearers. The information exchanged with IPBCP is done during BICC call establishment. In addition, it may be exchanged after a call has been established. IPBCP uses the Session Description Protocol (SDP) defined in RFC 2327 to encode this information. This standard is based on the ITU-T Recommendation Q.1970, BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol. It is suited for anticipated needs and applications within and between U. S. networks.

Foreword

The information contained in this Foreword is not part of this American National Standard (ANS) and has not been processed in accordance with ANSI's requirements for an ANS. As such, this Foreword may contain material that has not been subjected to public review or a consensus process. In addition, it does not contain requirements necessary for conformance to the standard.

This standard defines BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol. The BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol (IPBCP) is used for the exchange of media stream characteristics, port numbers, and IP addresses of the source and sink of a media stream to establish and allow the modification of IP bearers. The information exchanged with IPBCP is done during BICC call establishment. In addition, it may be exchanged after a call has been established. IPBCP uses the Session Description Protocol (SDP) defined in RFC 2327 to encode this information.

This standard is based on the ITU-T Recommendation Q.1970, BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol. It is suited for anticipated needs and applications within and between U. S. networks.

Suggestions for improvement of this standard are welcome. They should be sent to the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions, 1200 G Street NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C., 20005.

This standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the Accredited Standards Committee on Telecommunications, T1. Committee approval of this standard does not necessarily imply that all committee members voted for its approval. At the time it approved this standard, the T1 Committee had the following members:

E.R. Hapeman, T1 Chair
 W.R. Zeuch, T1 Vice-Chair
 J.A. Crandall, T1 Director
 S.M. Carioti, T1 Disciplines
 S.D. Barclay, T1 Secretary
 C.A. Underkoffler, T1 Chief Editor
 Viqar Shaikh, T1S1.7 Editor

EXCHANGE CARRIERS

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.	Peter Musgrove Brian Daly (Alt.)
BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.	W.J. McNamara III Gregory Wos (Alt.)
Cable & Wireless	Olga Aparicio Roderick Dottin (Alt.)
Covad Communications Co.	Ron Marquardt David Rosenstein (Alt.)
Qwest	James L. Eitel Richard Prince (Alt.)
Rhythms	Rand Kennedy David Reilly (Alt.)
Rogers Wireless Inc.	Edward O'Leary Peter Oldfield (Alt.)
SBC Communications, Inc.	C.C. Bailey John E. Roquet (Alt.)
Sprint – Local Telecom. Division	Leroy D. Kellogg
US Telecom Association (USTA)	Paul Hart Donald G. Bender (Alt.)
Verizon Communications	Josephine Gallagher James F. Baskin (Alt.)

GENERAL INTEREST

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
AT&T Broadband	Paul Hughes Jim Dahl (Alt.)
BOPS Inc.	Ali S. Sadri, PhD
CSI Telecommunications	Michael S. Newman William J. Buckley (Alt.)
Cingular Wireless LLC	Don Zelmer Mark Grant (Alt.)
Defense Information Systems Agency	Don Choi
Golden Bridge Technology Inc.	Kourosh Parsa Karin Zickermann (Alt.)
Microcell Connexions	Venkatesh Sampath Besma Smida (Alt.)
National Communications System	Nicholas Andre F. McClelland (Alt.)
NTIA	Neal B. Seitz
Quintessent Communications Inc.	Dave Deutschman
Rural Utilities Service	Orren E. Cameron III Norberto Esteves (Alt.)
Telcordia Technologies	Rick Harrison Cliff Halevi (Alt.)
Voicestream Wireless Corp.	Gary K. Jones Mark Younge (Alt.)

INTEREXCHANGE CARRIERS

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
AT&T	Doris S. Lebovits Rick Canaday (Alt.)
Bell Canada	P. Norman Smith
Lockheed Martin Global Telecom	Prakash Chitre
Sprint – Long Distance Division	James Lord Al White (Alt.)
WorldCom	Yi-Shang Shen J. Martin Carroll (Alt.)

MANUFACTURERS

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
3COM	Fred Lucas Richard L. Stuart (Alt.)
Acterna	Michael Lewis Dick Bobilin (Alt.)
ADC Telecommunications Inc.	Nelson Zagalsky
Alcatel USA Inc.	Ken Biholar Cheri Dickerson (Alt.)
Aware, Inc.	Marcos Tzannes William Meyer (Alt.)
Broadcom Corp.	David C. Jones Vladimir Oksman (Alt.)
Centillum Communications, Inc.	Dr. Syed Abbas Guozhu Long (Alt.)
Cisco Systems, Inc.	John McDonough John Krahnert (Alt.)
Conexant Systems, Inc.	Quentin C. Cassen
Copper Mountain Networks	John Reister Jack Yang (Alt.)
ECI Telecom Inc.	Jack Zeros Todd Poole (Alt.)
Elastic Networks, Inc.	Patrick H. Stanley, P.E. Jack Terry (Alt.)
Ericsson Inc.	Bob Slocum Asok Chatterjee (Alt.)
Excelsus Technologies Inc.	Frederick Kiko William J. Buckley (Alt.)
Fujitsu America Inc.	Arnold W. Bragg Hirohiko Yamamoto (Alt.)
General Datacomm Inc.	Fred Cronin
Globespan Semiconductor, Inc.	Massimo Sorbara Clete Gardenhour (Alt.)
Harris Corp.	Marlis Humphrey
Hekimian Laboratories	William H. Duncan
Hewlett-Packard	Steve Mills Karen Higginbottom (Alt.)
Hughes Network Systems, Inc.	Dr. Leonard Golding Enrique Laborde (Alt.)

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
IBM Corp.	Jeff H. Derby Evangelos Eleftheriou (Alt.)
LayerOne Wireless Technology	Gary Lomp Peter Voltz (Alt.)
Lucent Technologies	Greg Ratta Rick Townsend (Alt.)
Luxxon Corp.	Tao Lin
Marconi Communications	Mark Scott David K. Brown (Alt.)
Megaxess, Inc.	John Boal D. Vaman (Alt.)
Metawave Communications Corp.	Shimon Scherzer
Mitel Corp.	Maamoun AbouSeido Kelvin Steeden (Alt.)
Motorola Inc.	Ken Skurnak Syed Niaz (Alt.)
Next Level Communications	Sabit Say Jeffrey Weber (Alt.)
Nokia Telecommunications Inc.	Chris Wallace Margaret Livingston (Alt.)
Nortel Networks	Subhash Patel
Ocular Networks, Inc.	Ron Fang Chris Roller (Alt.)
OKI America Inc.	Henri Suyderhoud Hisao Fujikawa (Alt.)
Paradyne Corp.	Richard K. Smith Phil Kyees (Alt.)
PMC-Sierra, Inc.	Winston Mok Terence Lau (Alt.)
Qualcomm Inc.	Mark Epstein Ed Tiedemann (Alt.)
Siemens Information & Communications Networks, Inc.	David E. Francisco Jim Stanco (Alt.)
ST Microelectronics	Raffaele Penazzi Stefania Boiocchi (Alt.)
Symmetricom Inc.	Don Skipwith Ed Butterline (Alt.)
Tellabs Operations, Inc.	Tom Rarick
Tellium, Inc.	Krishna Bala, PhD Siegfried Giebl (Alt.)
Texas Instruments	James T. Carlo Pete Chow, Ph.D. (Alt.)
TranSwitch Corp.	Jitender Vij Edwin Soltysiak (Alt.)
Voyan Technology	Bob Burke Rolf Fiebrich (Alt.)
Westell Technologies, Inc.	Guy Cerulli Tariq Amjed (Alt.)

At the time it approved this standard, Technical Subcommittee T1S1 on Services, Architectures, & Signaling), which is responsible for the development of this standard, had the following members:

- B. Hall, T1S1 Chair
- G. Ratta, T1S1 Vice-Chair

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
Acterna	Michael Lewis Dick Bobilin (Alt.)
ADC Telecommunications Inc.	Sal Morlando Paul Krischlunas (Alt.)
Alcatel USA Inc.	Jeff Copley
AT&T	Doris S. Lebovits John Keselica (Alt.)
AT&T Broadband	Sohan Grewal Jim Dahl (Alt.)
AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.	Peter Musgrove Brian Daly (Alt.)
Bell Canada	Stewart Patch P. Norman Smith (Alt.)
BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.	Robert V. Epley David Whitney (Alt.)
CSI Telecommunications	Michael S. Newman William J. Buckley (Alt.)
Cisco Systems	Rajiv Kapoor Chip Sharp (Alt.)
Compaq Computer Corp.	John L. Schantz Steve Upton (Alt.)
Defense Information Systems Agency	Don Choi Ralph Liguori (Alt.)
Ericsson Inc.	Bob Slocum
Fujitsu America Inc.	Mark Stewart Doug Hunt (Alt.)
Harris Corporation	Marlis Humphrey
Hekimian Laboratories	William H. Duncan
Hewlett-Packard	James G. Baker
ICG Communications	Kenneth Frederick
Inet Technologies Inc.	Jarrett Archer Trevor Schelp (Alt.)
LG Sansys, Inc.	Hee Joung Lee Mark Hosford (Alt.)
Lockheed Martin Global Telecom	Prakash Chitre

Organization Represented	Name of Representative
Lucent Technologies	Robert B. Waller Greg Ratta (Alt.)
Megaxess, Inc.	John Boal D. Vaman (Alt.)
National Communications System	Nicholas Andre H. Folts (Alt.)
Nokia Telecommunications Inc.	Jean-Luc Bouthhemy Chris Wallace (Alt.)
Nortel Networks	Subhash Patel Joseph A. Zebarth (Alt.)
OKI America Inc.	Henri Suyderhoud Hisao Fujikawa (Alt.)
Oresis Communications, Inc.	Michael R. Zeug George Shenoda (Alt.)
Paradyne Corp.	Richard K. Smith Phil Kyees (Alt.)
Qwest	Steve Showell James L. Eitel (Alt.)
Rhythms	Rand Kennedy David Reilly (Alt.)
SBC Communications, Inc.	B.S. Sambasivan Clifton Campbell (Alt.)
Siemens Information and Communication Networks, Inc.	Rajendra Udeshi Ron Franks (Alt.)
Sprint – Long Distance Division	James Lord
Telcordia Technologies	Selvan Rengasami Wesley Downum (Alt.)
Tellabs Operations, Inc.	Brian Yarger Mike Wurst (Alt.)
US Telecom Association (USTA)	Paul Johnson Donald G. Bender (Alt.)
Verizon Communications	Dana Shillingburg Michael Brusca (Alt.)
Voicestream Wireless Corp.	Albert H. Yuhan, Ph.D. Gary K. Jones (Alt.)
WorldCom	Yatendra Pathak Bernard Ku (Alt.)

Working Group T1S1.7 developed this standard. Over the course of its development the following individuals participated in the Working Group's discussions and made significant contributions to the standard:

Martin Dolly, T1S1.7 Working Group Chair
Joe Zearth, T1S1.7 Working Group Vice Chair
Viqar Shaikh, T1S1.7 Editor

Stuart Goldman
Mike Pierce
Janey Cheu
Koan S. Chong
Wesley Downum
Tung-Hai Hsiao
Doris Lebovits
Hans Oudmaijer
Stewart Patch
Dick Boblin

Don Choi
Keith Bronley
M. I. McLoughlin
Niranjan Sandesara
Larry Forni
Bob Hall
Carl Smedberg
Yatendra Pathek
B. Sambasivan
Ray P. Singh

Carl Smedberg
Rajendra P. Udeshi
Al Varney
Rajiv Kapoor
Rolfe Burkhe
Greg Ratta
Mike McGrew
Mike Tisiker

Table of Contents

0	SUMMARY	1
1	SCOPE	1
2	NORMATIVE REFERENCES	1
3	TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	2
4	ABBREVIATIONS	2
5	OVERVIEW	3
6	IPBCP MESSAGES	3
6.1	IPBCP MESSAGE CONTENTS.....	3
6.2	IPBCP MESSAGE FIELDS	4
7	TRANSPORT OF IPBCP MESSAGES	5
8	PROCEDURES	6
8.1	SUCCESSFUL IP BEARER ESTABLISHMENT	6
8.1.1	INITIATING BIWF.....	6
8.1.2	RECEIVING BIWF	6
8.2	SUCCESSFUL IP BEARER MODIFICATION.....	6
8.2.1	BIWF INITIATING IP BEARER MODIFICATION.....	6
8.2.2	BIWF RECEIVING IP BEARER MODIFICATION.....	7
8.3	IP BEARER RELEASE.....	7
8.4	COMPATIBILITY PROCEDURES	7
8.5	PROCEDURES FOR EXCEPTIONAL CONDITIONS.....	8
8.5.1	IP BEARER ESTABLISHMENT.....	8
8.5.1.1	INITIATING BIWF.....	8
8.5.1.2	RECEIVING BIWF	8
8.5.2	IP BEARER MODIFICATION	8
8.5.2.1	BIWF INITIATING IP BEARER MODIFICATION.....	8
8.5.2.2	BIWF RECEIVING THE IP BEARER MODIFICATION.....	8
8.5.2.3	SIMULTANEOUS IP BEARER MODIFICATION REQUESTS	8
8.5.3	RECEPTION OF AN UNEXPECTED MESSAGE	8
9	TIMERS	9

Table of Tables

Table 1 - IPBCP Timers	9
------------------------------	---

American National Standard
for Telecommunications –

BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol (IPBCP)

0 Summary¹

This standard defines BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol. BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol (IPBCP) is used for the exchange of media stream characteristics, port numbers, and IP addresses of the source and sink of a media stream to establish and allow the modification of IP bearers. The information exchanged with IPBCP is done during BICC call establishment. In addition, it may be exchanged after a call has been established. IPBCP uses the Session Description Protocol (SDP) defined in RFC 2327 to encode this information.

1 Scope

This standard defines IPBCP, which is suitable for use in IP network environments where the Bearer Independent Call Control (BICC) protocol is deployed. IPBCP can be used also in other environments. BICC IPBCP is used for the exchange of media stream characteristics, port numbers, and IP addresses of the source and sink of a media stream to establish and allow the modification of IP bearers. The exchange of information with IPBCP is done during BICC call establishment and after a call has been established. IPBCP uses the Session Description Protocol (SDP) defined in RFC 2327 to encode this information.

2 Normative References

The following standards, and other references contain provisions, which through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards and other references are subject to revision; all users of this standard are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the standards and other references listed below.

T1.673-2002, *Bearer Independent Call Control (BICC) Capability Set (CS) 1+*.²

IETF RFC 791, *Internet Protocol (IP v4)*.³

IETF RFC 1889, *RTP A Transport Protocol for Real Time Applications*.

¹ A “|” indicates a change from the ITU-T Recommendation Q.1970. Strictly editorial changes are not shown.

² This document is available from the Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions, 1200 G Street N.W., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20005. <<http://www.atis.org>>

³ This document is available from the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). <<http://www.ietf.org>>

IETF RFC 2327, *SDP: Session Description Protocol*.

IETF RFC 2460, *Internet Protocol (IP v6)*.

IETF RFC 2833, *RTP Payload for DTMF Digits, Telephony Tones and Telephony Signals*.

ITU-T Recommendation Q.1970, *BICC IP Bearer Control Protocol*.⁴

3 Terms and Definitions

For the purpose of this Standard, the definitions of T1.673-2002 apply. In addition, the following definitions apply:

3.1 IP bearer: A bi-directional user plane association between two BIWFs for carrying media stream information across IP networks. An IP bearer is an instance of a Backbone Network Connection (BNC) type defined in T1.673-2002.

3.2 Initiating Bearer Inter-Working Function (I-BIWF): A BIWF initiating the establishment of an IP bearer.

3.3 Receiving Bearer Inter-Working Function (R-BIWF): A BIWF receiving an IP bearer establishment request.

4 Abbreviations

This Standard uses the following abbreviations:

BCF	Bearer Control Function
BICC	Bearer Independent Call Control
BIWF	Bearer Inter-Working Function
BNC	Backbone Network Connection
CSF	Call Service Function
DTMF	Dual Tone Multi-Frequency
IP	Internet Protocol
IPBCP	IP Bearer Control Protocol
I-BIWF	Initiating BIWF
RTP	Real time Transport Protocol
SDP	Session Description Protocol
R-BIWF	Receiving BIWF
UDP	User Datagram Protocol

⁴ This document is available from the International Telecommunications Union.

< <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/> >

5 Overview

The purpose of IPBCP is to exchange information between two BIWFs necessary to establish or modify IP bearers. IPBCP makes use of the SDP defined in RFC 2327 to encode the information that is exchanged. SDP descriptors used for IPBCP also contain IPBCP-specific SDP attributes.

6 IPBCP Messages

IPBCP uses messages to convey information between peer BIWFs. IPBCP defines four messages:

- 1) The Request message is sent by a BIWF to initiate an IP bearer establishment or modification request. The BIWF that initiates an IP bearer establishment request is denoted as the I-BIWF.
- 2) The Accepted message is sent by the BIWF that receives an IP bearer establishment or modification message if it accepts the request. The BIWF that receives an IP bearer establishment request is denoted as the R-BIWF.
- 3) The Confused message is sent by a BIWF in response to an IP bearer establishment or modification request if it cannot process the received Request message.
- 4) The Rejected message is sent by a BIWF in response to an IP bearer establishment or modification request if it rejects the request.

Either an I-BIWF or an R-BIWF may initiate an IP bearer modification request.

6.1 IPBCP Message Contents

Each IPBCP message consists of the following SDP fields:

Session and time description fields:

1. Protocol version (v)
2. Origin (o)
3. Session name (s)
4. Connection data (c)
5. Session attribute (a) – The session attribute identifies IPBCP version and message type.
6. Time (t)

Media description fields:

1. Media Announcement (m)
2. Media attributes (a) – Additional attributes for the support of RTP dynamic payload types, DTMF, other tones and signals and packetization time.

NOTE 1 - Some of the fields and sub-fields are included because they are mandatory and required by SDP but not relevant to IPBCP environment.

NOTE 2 -The above fields must be present in the order as specified in RFC 2327.

NOTE 3 - Other SDP fields may be included in an IPBCP message, however they are not required by this Standard and may be discarded by the receiver if they are not understood.

6.2 IPBCP Message Fields

The following list describes SDP fields as used by IPBCP.

1-Protocol version:

v=0 SDP version 0 is used

2-Origin

o=<username> <session id> <version> <network type> <address type> <address>

<username> is set to "-"; not used by IPBCP.

<session id> is set to "0"; not used by IPBCP.

<version> see RFC 2327.

<network> type is "IN"; for Internet.

<address type> is "IP4" or "IP6".

<address> is the IP address assigned to the BIWF sending an IPBCP message.

The receiver shall ignore the content of the address sub-field. IPBCP has no requirements on the content of the origin field.

NOTE - The above sub-fields are required to respect SDP rules.

3-Session name

s=<session name> an arbitrary string identifying the session. IPBCP has no requirements on the contents of the session name field.

4-Connection data

c=<network type> <address type> <connection address>

<network type> is "IN".

<address type> is "IP4" or "IP6".

<connection address> is a unicast address. Only unicast streams are supported (e.g., point to-point) in this version of IPBCP. For the details see RFC 2327.

5-Time

t=<start time> <stop time>

The sender shall set <start time> and <stop time> according to SDP rules. The receiver shall ignore the contents of this field. Values (0,0) are allowed. IPBCP has no requirements on the contents of the Time field.

6-Session attribute

SDP session attribute "ipbc" provides the means to identify the IPBCP version and to distinguish between Request, Accepted, Confused, and Rejected messages.

a=ipbc: < version><type>

<version> = 1; this Standard defines IPBCP version 1.

<type> = ("Request" / "Accepted" / "Confused" / " Rejected")

NOTE - Since IPBCP only supports the establishment of bidirectional bearers, these bearers are by default of type send and receive. Therefore the SDP attribute a=sendrecv does not need to be signaled.

7-Media announcement

m=<media> <port> <transport> <fmt list>

The "fmt list" is limited to only one payload type. For further details see RFC 2327.

8-Media attributes

To specify capabilities for DTMF digits and other tones and signals, the format of the media attribute is as follows:

a=fmtp:<format><format specific parameters>

For further details see RFC 2833.

To specify RTP dynamic payload types, the formats of the media attribute are:

a=rtmp:<payload><encoding name>/<clock rate>

For further details see RFC 2327.

To specify the packetization time, the format of the media attribute is:

a=ptime:<packet time>

where <packet time> is the media packetization time in milliseconds. For further details about the use of the ptime attribute with RTP, see RFC 2327.

7 Transport of IPBCP Messages

IPBCP assumes a reliable, sequenced, point-to-point signaling transport service between peer BIWFs.

8 Procedures

8.1 Successful IP Bearer Establishment

8.1.1 Initiating BIWF

When an I-BIWF receives a request from a control entity to establish an IP bearer, it shall send a Request message to the R-BIWF and start timer T1. The Request message must include one Media Announcement ("m" field). The "c" field shall include an interface address within the I-BIWF, which specifies the intended source and sink of the media stream at the I-BIWF. The request message may also contain optional media attribute fields such as tone and signal capabilities and packetization time.

Upon reception of an Accepted message from the R-BIWF, the I-BIWF shall stop timer T1 and shall check the Accepted message. Successful IP bearer establishment requires that:

- The received Media Announcement is the same as the one included in the Request message, except for the port sub-field which can be different.
- Except for the ptime and tone and signal capabilities, the media attribute fields must be the same as the ones included in the Request message.
- The optional ptime, tone, and signal capabilities, if included in the Accepted message, are acceptable values.

If the I-BIWF accepts the contents of the Accepted message, the IP bearer is successfully established at both BIWFs, and the control entity that initiated the establishment request shall be notified.

8.1.2 Receiving BIWF

Upon reception of a Request message from the I-BIWF, the R-BIWF examines the information in the Request message, and if it is acceptable, shall reply to the I-BIWF with an Accepted message. The Accepted message must include one SDP "m" field. The "c" field shall include an interface address within the R-BIWF, which will be the source and sink of the media stream at the R-BIWF. Except for the port sub-field, the "m" field must be identical to the one received in the Request message. The Accepted message may also contain optional media attribute fields such as tone and signal capabilities and packetization time. Returning an Accepted message to the I-BIWF implies that the IP bearer has been established at the R-BIWF.

8.2 Successful IP Bearer modification

Once an IP bearer is established, it can be modified at the request of a control entity at the I-BIWF or the R-BIWF. Only the "fmt list" of the media announcement field and the media attributes being used for an IP bearer can be modified.

8.2.1 BIWF Initiating IP Bearer Modification

The BIWF initiating the modification request sends a Request message to its peer BIWF and starts timer T2. The Request message must include a single Media Announcement ("m" field) and the media attributes to be changed.

Upon reception of an Accepted message from the peer BIWF, the BIWF that initiated the IP bearer modification request stops timer T2 and checks the Accepted message. Successful IP bearer modification requires that:

- The received Media Announcement is the same as the one included in the Request message, except for the port sub-field which can be different.
- Except for the ptime and tone and signal capabilities, the media attribute fields must be the same as the ones included in the Request message.
- The optional ptime, tone, and signal capabilities, if included in the Accepted message, are acceptable values.

If the BIWF accepts the contents of the Accepted message, the IP bearer is successfully modified at both BIWFs and the control entity that initiated the modification request shall be notified.

8.2.2 BIWF Receiving IP Bearer Modification

Upon reception of a Request message that applies to an existing IP bearer, the BIWF checks the Request message and, if acceptable, replies with an Accepted message. The Accepted message must contain a single Media Announcement (“m” field). Except for the “port” sub-field, this Media Announcement must be identical to the one received in the Request message. The ptime, tone and signal capabilities may be different from the values received in the Request message. Returning an Accepted message implies that the IP bearer has been successfully modified at the BIWF.

8.3 IP Bearer Release

There are no IPBCP messages exchanged between the two BIWFs to release an IP bearer.

NOTE - When IPBCP is used in BICC environment, IP bearer release is triggered by CSF.

8.4 Compatibility Procedures

IPBCP uses a basic compatibility mechanism based on version numbers, included in each IPBCP message. Each future revision of this Standard shall support the version sub-field. Peer BIWFs must use the same version of IPBCP in all messages related to the same IP bearer, except for the Confused message, when the R-BIWF does not support IPBCP version of the I-BIWF.

An R-BIWF receiving an IPBCP message with an unsupported version shall return a Confused message with the version it supports.

An I-BIWF receiving a Confused message shall examine the IPBCP version number indicated in the message. If the version number indicated in the Confused message is supported by the I-BIWF, it may re-initiate an IP bearer establishment request using this version number. Otherwise, the I-BIWF notifies the control entity that initiated IP bearer establishment request.

8.5 Procedures for Exceptional Conditions

8.5.1 IP Bearer Establishment

8.5.1.1 Initiating BIWF

Upon reception of a Rejected message or an incorrect or erroneous Accepted message from the R-BIWF, the I-BIWF shall stop timer T1, release the resources allocated to the IP bearer and notify the control entity that the IP bearer establishment has failed.

8.5.1.2 Receiving BIWF

Upon reception of a Request message from the I-BIWF, the R-BIWF shall check the contents of the message. If they are incorrect or the Media Announcement that is offered in the Request message is not supported, the R-BIWF shall reply to the I-BIWF with a Rejected message.

8.5.2 IP Bearer Modification

8.5.2.1 BIWF Initiating IP Bearer Modification

When the BIWF that initiated a bearer modification receives a Rejected message or an incorrect Accepted message from the peer BIWF, the BIWF initiating the IP bearer modification request shall stop timer T2 and notify the control entity that the modification request attempt has failed.

8.5.2.2 BIWF Receiving the IP Bearer Modification

When a BIWF receives a Request message that applies to an existing IP bearer, the request is considered a bearer modification request. The receiving BIWF checks the contents of the message. If the contents are incorrect or the Media Announcement that is offered in the Request message is not supported, the BIWF shall reply to the peer BIWF with a Rejected message and the BIWF that received the modification request shall continue to use the existing IP bearer.

8.5.2.3 Simultaneous IP Bearer Modification Requests

When both BIWFs attempt to modify an IP bearer simultaneously, the request from the I-BIWF shall take precedence over the one from the R-BIWF. The I-BIWF shall discard the R-BIWF request and continue to process the I-BIWF IP bearer modification request by following the procedures of IP bearer modification of 8.2. The R-BIWF shall abandon its request and respond to the control entity of the failure of the modification attempt; it shall continue to process the modification request from the I-BIWF.

8.5.3 Reception of an Unexpected Message

If a BIWF receives an unexpected message from its peer, it shall discard the message.

9 Timers

Table 1 lists IPBCP timers.

Table 1 - IPBCP Timers

Timer	Range	Default value	Cause for start	Cause for stop	Action at expiry
T1	1 to 30 s (in increments of 1 s)	5 s	Request message sent for IP bearer establishment	Accepted, Rejected or Confused message received or call cleared	Notify the control entity that initiated IP bearer establishment.
T2	1 to 30 s (in increments of 1 s)	5 s	Request message sent for IP bearer modification	Accepted, Rejected or Confused message received or call cleared	Notify the control entity that initiated IP bearer modification.